To: Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]; Dermer, Michele[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]; Robin,

George[Robin.George@epa.gov]; Kobelski, Bruce[Kobelski.Bruce@epa.gov]

From: Heidi Harmon

Sent: Fri 2/26/2016 8:15:52 PM **Subject:** Aguifer Exemption

I am a resident of San Luis Obispo and I am writing to ask that to deny an exemption to Freeport to expand their operations and to use our beautiful community as a dumping ground for their waste water for the following reasons:

□□Freeport and DOGGR have failed to demonstrate that the aquifer meets the federal or state criteria for exemption.
□□□□□□□□□Neither Freeport nor DOGGR have met
their burden of demonstrating that the aquifer cannot be used for beneficial or domestic purposes, or that it is hydraulically isolated from other current or future
beneficial use or domestic water sources.
•□□□□□□□□□The proposal threatens drinking water
because state officials failed to adequately map
nearby water wells, and different maps provided by
the state actually show different aquifer boundaries.
 □□□□□□□□□the EPA should consider contamination
threats to nearby drinking water wells.
, ,
□□□□□□□□State officials have failed to
acknowledge water wells within or very near the
proposed aquifer boundary.
•□□□□□□□□The FPA must protect the water

supplies of people living near this oil field.
• □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ State officials have also failed to
demonstrate that the proposed exempted area is
hydraulically isolated from drinking water supplies.
• □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ The claim that boundary conditions
create an impermeable hydraulic barrier that would
preclude the intercommunication of drinking water
aquifers with oil field activities is unsubstantiated by
any physical tests or computer simulations.
• □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ Freeport McMoRan's application also
fails to mention the company's own plans to
dramatically expand operations in this same oil field.
o The company aims to drill up to 350 new wells
(including injection wells) to achieve up to a 10-fold
increase in daily oil production. That would likely
also result in a major increase in wastewater
production.
o The analysis of aquifer exemption is based on
current water extraction and injection. Nowhere does
the application mention that the company is pursuing
this oilfield expansion project.
o There is no analysis of what will happen to the
aquifer if that expansion proceeds—including
possible changes in pressure, the potential for inducing fractures, the water quality/chemicals that
inducing fractures, the water quality/chemicals that will be used, etc.
•□□□□□□□□□□□OGGR has failed to demonstrate that
• DDDDDDDDGGR has failed to demonstrate that

